



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

DK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/259,413 06/14/94 HARRIS

021069
AMGEN INCORPORATED
MAIL STOP 27-4-A
ONE AMGEN CENTER DRIVE
THOUSAND OAKS CA 91320-1799

HM12/0606

EXAMINER

LILLING, H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1651

DATE MAILED:

06/06/01

37

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.
08/259,413

Applicant(s)

HARRIS ET AL

Examiner
DR. HERBERT J. LILLING

Art Unit
1651



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED May 17, 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☒ A Notice of Appeal was filed on May 17, 2001. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____
5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
THE ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT SUPPORTED BY THE CHAIN OF APPLICATIONS IN THE INSTANT APPLICATION.
THERE APPEARS TO BE A HIATUS IN THE CHAIN. IF APPLICATION CAN SUPPORT BY A CLEAR CHAIN
7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed: _____
Claim(s) objected to: _____
Claim(s) rejected: 15 and 45-58
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
11. ☒ Other: OF PRIOR APPLICATIONS ON RECORD-WILL RECONSIDER THE REJ. NO NEW ISSUES WILL BE CONSIDERED BASED ON THE CURRENT RECORD.

Herbert J. Lilling
DR. HERBERT J. LILLING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1651

Art Unit: 1651

Mike, September 30, 2001

With regards to Ser. No. 08/984,459, there is a 312 amendment filed which I would like to enter in part only.

- a) There is a change request for the PCT's which cannot mature into a U.S. Patent so I would like to change the PCT to the corresponding equivalent U.S. Ser No.'s .
- b) I will not enter any of the requested amendments to the specification especially since John Chen has been attempting to get into interference or obtain claims covering the subject matter of a patented file through the back door. There is absolutely no showing in the instant application clear support for the additional subject matter.
- c) There is no problems with the amendments to the claims.

**PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU WILL AGREE TO THE CHANGES WHICH I
WILL PROCESS WITH RED INK AFTER YOUR APPROVAL. PLEASE RETURN
CASE TO ME FOR THE CHANGES.**

THANKS HERB